

# Symmetric products in HoTT

Wojciech Paupa

University of Warsaw, JetBrains

HoTT/UF 2026

# Overview

- ① Classical definition
- ② Commutativity in HoTT
- ③ The definitions of  $SP^2$  and  $SP^3$
- ④ A sketch of the definition of  $SP^n$

# Symmetric products

We define:

$$SP^n X = X^n / \Sigma_n, \quad \sigma \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

# Symmetric products

We define:

$$SP^n X = X^n / \Sigma_n, \quad \sigma \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$$

As a (strict) colimit:

$$SP^n X = \operatorname{colim} \left( X^n \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \sigma_i \end{array} \right)$$

In terms of universal property,  $SP^n X$  is the space through which every  $n$ -argument fully commutative function from  $X$  factors uniquely.

# The problem with commutativity

HIT  $SP^2 A =$

▶  $inSP2 A A$

## The problem with commutativity

HIT  $SP^2 A =$

- ▶  $inSP2 A A$
- ▶  $coh1 : (a b : A) \rightarrow inSP2 a b = inSP2 b a$

# The problem with commutativity

HIT  $SP^2 A =$

- ▶  $inSP2 A A$
- ▶  $coh1 : (a b : A) \rightarrow inSP2 a b = inSP2 b a$
- ▶  $coh2 : (a b : A) \rightarrow coh1 a b \cdot coh1 b a = refl$

# The problem with commutativity

HIT  $SP^2 A =$

- ▶  $inSP2 A A$
- ▶  $coh1 : (a b : A) \rightarrow inSP2 a b = inSP2 b a$
- ▶  $coh2 : (a b : A) \rightarrow coh1 a b \cdot coh1 b a = refl$
- ▶ ...

## Commutativity structures

A function  $f : A^n \rightarrow B$  (where  $A^n \equiv: \text{Fin } n \rightarrow A$ ) is commutative in HoTT, if there exists a **commutativity structure**:

$$c : (X : B\Sigma_n) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B, \quad c(\text{Fin } n) = f,$$

where  $B\Sigma_n$  is the type of “unordered”  $n$ -element types (realizable as  $\sum_{X:\text{Type}} \|X \simeq \text{Fin } n\|_{\text{Prop}}$ ).

## Commutativity structures

A function  $f : A^n \rightarrow B$  (where  $A^n \equiv: \text{Fin } n \rightarrow A$ ) is commutative in HoTT, if there exists a **commutativity structure**:

$$c : (X : B\Sigma_n) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B, \quad c(\text{Fin } n) = f,$$

where  $B\Sigma_n$  is the type of “unordered”  $n$ -element types (realizable as  $\sum_{X:\text{Type}} \|X \simeq \text{Fin } n\|_{\text{Prop}}$ ).

Commutativity comes from paths:

$$p_\sigma : \text{Fin } n =_{B\Sigma_n} \text{Fin } n, \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_n$$

## Borel products

This motivates considering the type:

$$A^n // \Sigma_n = \sum_{X: B\Sigma_n} (X \rightarrow A),$$

called the homotopy quotient (Borel construction). If  $G$  acts freely on  $T$ , then  $T // G \simeq T/G$ .

## Borel products

This motivates considering the type:

$$A^n // \Sigma_n = \sum_{X: B\Sigma_n} (X \rightarrow A),$$

called the homotopy quotient (Borel construction). If  $G$  acts freely on  $T$ , then  $T // G \simeq T/G$ . However, the action of  $\Sigma_n$  on  $A^n$  is not free if it has repeating coordinates:

$$\sigma \cdot \underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots)}_{m_1} = \underbrace{(x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots)}_{m_1} \quad \text{for } \sigma \in \Sigma_{m_1} \times \dots \subseteq \Sigma_n$$

## A measure of success

We (classically) have a natural map  $\Phi : A^n // \Sigma_n \rightarrow A^n / \Sigma_n$ . For  $x$  with all unique coordinates,  $\Phi^{-1}\{x\}$  is contractible. In the general case:

$$x = \underbrace{[x_1, \dots, x_1, \dots]}_{m_1} \Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}\{x\} = B(\Sigma_{m_1} \times \dots) = B\Sigma_{m_1} \times \dots$$

To find a space  $S^n(A)$  with  $\Phi : S^n(A) \rightarrow A^n / \Sigma_n$  such that  $\Phi^{-1}\{x\}$  is contractible for all  $x$ , we need to glue all such subspace in  $A^n // \Sigma_n$ .

## The case $n = 2$

The only subspaces we need to glue are  $\Phi^{-1}\{[x, x]\} \simeq B\Sigma_2$ . Let  $\delta(b, x) = (b, \lambda_{\dots}x)$ , then:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\Sigma_2 \times X & \xrightarrow{\delta} & X^2 // \Sigma_2 \\ \downarrow \text{proj}_2 & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & S^2(X) \end{array}$$

In the classical world, the homotopy pushout  $S^2(X)$  is indeed equivalent to  $SP^2X$ .

## Results about $S^2(X)$

- ▶ As proven by U. Buchholtz, if  $A$  is a set, then  $S^2(A)$  is also a set (and has a nice HIT presentation).

## Results about $S^2(X)$

- ▶ As proven by U. Buchholtz, if  $A$  is a set, then  $S^2(A)$  is also a set (and has a nice HIT presentation).
- ▶ Every commutativity structure  $c : (X : B\Sigma_2) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B$  with a constant extended diagonal  $\delta(X, x) = c(X, \lambda_{\cdot}.x)$  lifts uniquely to a map from  $S^2(X)$ .

## Results about $S^2(X)$

- ▶ As proven by U. Buchholtz, if  $A$  is a set, then  $S^2(A)$  is also a set (and has a nice HIT presentation).
- ▶ Every commutativity structure  $c : (X : B\Sigma_2) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow B$  with a constant extended diagonal  $\delta(X, x) = c(X, \lambda_{\cdot}.x)$  lifts uniquely to a map from  $S^2(X)$ .
- ▶ By van Kampen, we get that  $\pi_1(S^2(A)) = (\pi_1(A))^{ab}$  (which is a baby step towards Hurewicz).

## The case $n = 3$

Let's start by gluing  $\Phi^{-1}\{[x, x, y]\} \simeq B\Sigma_2$ . Let  $\delta_2(b, (x, y)) = (b \sqcup *, (\lambda_{\cdot} x) \sqcup (\lambda_{\cdot} y))$  and:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\Sigma_2 \times X^2 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & X^3 // \Sigma_3 \\ \downarrow \text{proj}_2 & & \downarrow \\ X^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad \sqcup \quad} & S_2^3(X) \end{array}$$

Notice that we've also glued  $B\Sigma_2 \subseteq B\Sigma_3 = \Phi^{-1}\{[x, x, x]\}$ .

## The case $n = 3$

Let's start by gluing  $\Phi^{-1}\{[x, x, y]\} \simeq B\Sigma_2$ . Let  $\delta_2(b, (x, y)) = (b \sqcup *, (\lambda_{\cdot}x) \sqcup (\lambda_{\cdot}y))$  and:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\Sigma_2 \times X^2 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & X^3 // \Sigma_3 \\ \downarrow \text{proj}_2 & & \downarrow \\ X^2 & \xrightarrow{\quad \Gamma \quad} & S_2^3(X) \end{array}$$

Notice that we've also glued  $B\Sigma_2 \subseteq B\Sigma_3 = \Phi^{-1}\{[x, x, x]\}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B\Sigma_3 // B\Sigma_2) \times X & \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & S_2^3(X) \\ \downarrow \text{proj}_2 & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\quad \Gamma \quad} & S^3(X) \end{array}, \quad \begin{cases} \delta_3(\text{in } b, x) = \text{inl}(t, \lambda_{\cdot}x) \\ \text{ap}_{\delta_3(\cdot, x)}(\text{glue } b) = \text{glue}(b, (x, x)) \end{cases}$$

## The general case

- ▶ We glue all subspaces corresponding to single points in  $SP^n X$ , going from more general (more refined) to less general (e.g.  $[x, y, z, z]$  before  $[x, y, y, y]$ )
- ▶ We denote  $S_k^n(X)$  as the partial construction of  $SP^n X$  after gluing all subspaces of points with at least  $k$  unique coordinates.

Let  $\lambda$  be a possible shape of a point in  $SP^n X$  (a partition of  $n$ ). We reason by induction on the poset of such  $\lambda$ , ordered by refinement. We need to find a type  $C_\lambda$  representing all points that are mapped in  $\Phi$  to a point of shape  $\lambda$ , or the whole structure of contractions of cases that are refinements of  $\lambda$ .

## The general case: one vertex per point

- ▶ When gluing subspaces in which several coordinates have the same multiplicity, e.g.  $[x, x, y, y]$ , we don't want to create each vertex more than once. We will distinguish coordinates with different multiplicities, but identify ones with same multiplicities by using a homotopy quotient, here  $X^2//\Sigma_2$

## The general case: one vertex per point

- ▶ When gluing subspaces in which several coordinates have the same multiplicity, e.g.  $[x, x, y, y]$ , we don't want to create each vertex more than once. We will distinguish coordinates with different multiplicities, but identify ones with same multiplicities by using a homotopy quotient, here  $X^2 // \Sigma_2$

For  $\lambda$  a shape with  $n_i$  coordinates repeating  $i$  times (for each  $i$ ) we define:

$$X^\lambda = (X^{n_1} // \Sigma_{n_1}) \times \cdots \times (X^{n_i} // \Sigma_{n_i}) \times \cdots$$

$$X_{B\Sigma}^\lambda = ((X \times B\Sigma_1)^{n_1} // \Sigma_{n_1}) \times \cdots \times ((X \times B\Sigma_{n_i})^{n_i} // \Sigma_{n_i}) \times \cdots$$

$$B\Sigma_\lambda(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{x: X^\lambda} B\Sigma_\lambda(x) = X_{B\Sigma}^\lambda$$

Then  $B\Sigma_\lambda(x)$  is merely equal to  $(B\Sigma_1)^{n_1} \times \dots$

## The general case: multiple extensions

- ▶ We want  $C_\lambda$  to be  $X_{B\Sigma}^\lambda$  with appropriately glued  $C_{\lambda'}$  for  $\lambda'$  refining  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ There may be more than one way to extend a point of shape  $\lambda$  to a shape  $\lambda'$ , for example  $[u, u, v, v]$  to  $[a, b, c, c]$  has two. Denote the type of such extensions of  $x$  as  $T_{\lambda < \lambda'}(x)$ , with a function  $\text{extend}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'}(x, t)$

## The general case: the structure to glue

Define  $C_\lambda = \sum_{x:X^\lambda} C_\lambda(x)$ , where  $C_\lambda(x)$  is given by:

- ▶  $\text{inX} : B\Sigma_\lambda(x) \rightarrow C_\lambda(x)$
- ▶  $\text{inC} : (\lambda' < \lambda) \rightarrow (t : T_{\lambda' < \lambda}(x)) \rightarrow C_{\lambda'}(\text{extend}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'}(x, t)) \rightarrow C_\lambda(x)$
- ▶  $\text{vertC} : (\lambda' < \lambda) \rightarrow (t : T_{\lambda' < \lambda}(x)) \rightarrow C_\lambda(x)$
- ▶  $\text{contrC} : (\lambda' < \lambda) \rightarrow (t : T_{\lambda' < \lambda}(x)) (c : C'_{\lambda'}(\text{extend}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'}(x, t))) \rightarrow \text{inC } \lambda' t c = \text{vertC } t$
- ▶  $\text{glueC} : (\lambda' < \lambda) \rightarrow (b : B\Sigma_\lambda(x)) \rightarrow (t : T_{\lambda' < \lambda}(x)) \rightarrow \text{inC } \lambda' t (\text{inX } (\pi_1 \text{ extend}_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'}(x, t))) = \text{inX } b$

## The general case: pushout construction

Let  $\text{len } \lambda$  be the number of unique coordinates in an element of shape  $\lambda$ . Then  $S_k^n(X)$  is the pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sum_{\lambda, \text{len } \lambda = k} C_\lambda & \longrightarrow & S_{k+1}^n(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \sum_{\lambda, \text{len } \lambda = k} X^\lambda & \longrightarrow & S_k^n(X) \end{array},$$

where  $S_n^n(X) = X^n // \Sigma_n$ . Then  $S_1^n =: SP^n X$ .

## A desirable application

Let  $X$  be a CW-complex based at  $*$ . Then:

$$SP^\infty X = \operatorname{colim} \left( SP^1 X \hookrightarrow SP^2 X \hookrightarrow SP^3 X \hookrightarrow \dots \right),$$

where  $[x_1, x_2, \dots] \mapsto [*, x_1, x_2, \dots]$  This is functorial,  $(SP^\infty f)[x_1, \dots] = [f(x_1), \dots]$ .

## A desirable application

Let  $X$  be a CW-complex based at  $*$ . Then:

$$SP^\infty X = \operatorname{colim} \left( SP^1 X \hookrightarrow SP^2 X \hookrightarrow SP^3 X \hookrightarrow \dots \right),$$

where  $[x_1, x_2, \dots] \mapsto [*, x_1, x_2, \dots]$ . This is functorial,  $(SP^\infty f)[x_1, \dots] = [f(x_1), \dots]$ .

For  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/A$  we have:

$$(SP^\infty \pi)^{-1} \{ [*, *, \dots, x_1, x_2, \dots] \} = \{ [a_1, \dots, a_n, x_1, x_2, \dots] : a_i \in A \} \simeq SP^\infty A$$



So (as long as we prove  $SP^\infty \pi$  is a quasifibration, which is trivial in HoTT) there's a fiber sequence  $SP^\infty A \rightarrow SP^\infty X \rightarrow SP^\infty(X/A)$ , giving us a homology theory  $H_*(X) = \pi_*(SP^\infty X)$ .

# Bibliography

Formalization:




- ▶ <https://github.com/WPaupa/symmetric-products> (Arend)

Group constructions:

-  U. Buchholtz (2023), Unordered pairs in homotopy type theory. Draft. <https://ulrikbuchholtz.dk/pairs.pdf>, accessed May 2026
-  U. Buchholtz, F. van Doorn, E. Rijke (2018), *Higher Groups in Homotopy Type Theory*, LICS '18: Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, Pages 205 - 214. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3209108.3209150>



# Bibliography

Commutativity structures:

-  A. Ljungstrom, D. Wörn (2025), *The Steenrod squares via unordered joins*. Preprint.  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2504.08664>
-  Buchholtz, U. & Rijke, E. *The real projective spaces in homotopy type theory*. 2017 32nd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium On Logic In Computer Science (LICS).  
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1704.05770> pp. 1-8 (2017)
-  G. Brunerie (2016), *The steenrod squares in homotopy type theory*, abstract at 23rd International Conference on Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES 2017)  
<https://types2017.elte.hu/proc.pdf>, page 45

# Bibliography

Relevant algebraic topology:

-  E. D. Farjoun (1996), Dold-Thom symmetric products and other colimits. In: *Cellular Spaces, Null Spaces and Homotopy Localization*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 1622. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0094433>
-  A. Hatcher (2002). *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/AT/AT.pdf>