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## Overview and preliminaries

For any type $T$, we have the classifying types of $T$-bundles,

$$
\operatorname{BAut}(T): \equiv \sum_{X: U}\|X \simeq T\|,
$$

and of oriented $T$-bundles,

$$
\operatorname{BAut}_{1}(T): \equiv \sum_{X: \mathcal{U}}\|X \simeq T\|_{0} .
$$

A pointed type $T$ is central, if evaluation at the base point induces an equivalence:

$$
\mathrm{ev}_{\mathrm{pt}_{T}}:(T \rightarrow T)_{\left(\mathrm{id}_{T}\right)} \xrightarrow{\sim} T .
$$

If $T$ is central, then for any $(X, \omega): \operatorname{BAut}_{1}(T)$, evaluation at the base point gives an equivalence

$$
\left(\left(T,|\mathrm{id}|_{0}\right)=(X, \omega)\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} X .
$$

## Euler classes

We can define (up to resizing):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} & : \equiv \operatorname{BAut}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
\mathrm{BG}(n) & : \equiv \operatorname{BAut}_{\left(\mathrm{S}^{n-1}\right)} \\
\mathrm{BS} \mathcal{S}(n) & : \equiv \operatorname{BAut}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The universal Euler class is the map

$$
\mathrm{e}_{n}^{\mathbb{Z}}: \operatorname{BAut}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{BAut}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~B}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

induced by $(n-1)$-truncation for $n>1$. Using notations above, $\mathrm{e}_{n}^{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathrm{BSG}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}$. The direct sum of two oriented sphere bundles is given by the join (with suitable orientation):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\oplus: \mathrm{BSG}(n) \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{BSG}(m) \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{BSG}(n+m) \\
\bar{X} \oplus \bar{Y}: \equiv\left(X \star Y,_{-}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The Whitney sum formula states

$$
\mathrm{e}_{n+m}(\bar{X} \oplus \bar{Y})=\mathrm{e}_{n}(\bar{X}) \smile \mathrm{e}_{m}(\bar{Y})
$$

This is automatic if we can define the cup product using joins:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\smile: \mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{m} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n+m} \mathbb{Z} \\
\bar{X} \oplus \bar{Y}: \equiv\left(\|X \star Y\|_{n+m-1},-\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Notice the level shift compared to using truncation as the definition,

$$
\left.\left.\right|_{-}\right|_{n}: \mathrm{S}^{n} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}: \equiv\left\|\mathrm{S}^{n}\right\|_{n}
$$

with the cup product induced by the smash product

$$
\mathrm{S}^{n} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~S}^{m} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~S}^{n} \wedge \mathrm{~S}^{m} \simeq_{*} \mathrm{~S}^{n+m}
$$

## Whitney sum formula (sketch)

Another way of defining the cup product is via delooping:
By induction on $n$, we use the equivalence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{m} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n+1+m} \mathbb{Z} \\
\simeq & \Omega \mathrm{~B}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{m} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \Omega \mathrm{~B}^{n+1+m} \mathbb{Z} \\
\simeq & \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{m} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n+m} \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

to define $\smile_{n+1, m}$ in terms of $\smile_{n, m}$. For the base case $n \equiv 0$, we use an induction on $m$.

To compare with the join definition, we need in the step case a pointed homotopy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega \mathrm{B}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\Omega\left(\star_{n+1, m}\right)} \Omega\left(\mathrm{B}^{m} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n+1+m} \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
\quad \simeq \downarrow^{\downarrow} \quad \\
\mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow[\star_{n, m}]{ }\left(\mathrm{B}^{m} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n+m} \mathbb{Z}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the bottom right type is an H -space, it's enough to give an unpointed homotopy (thanks, Evan!). Fix $\bar{Y}: \mathrm{B}^{m} \mathbb{Z}$. We need the outer square below to commute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega \mathrm{B}^{n+1} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\Omega\left(-\star_{n+1, m} \bar{Y}\right)} \Omega \mathrm{BAut}_{1}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \star Y\right\|_{n+m}\right) \\
& \simeq \downarrow \downarrow \simeq \\
& \mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text { |inl -| }}\left\|\mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \star Y\right\|_{n+m} \\
& \equiv \downarrow \quad(-=\mathrm{pt}) \downarrow \simeq \\
& \mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{-\star_{n, m} \bar{Y}} \operatorname{BAut}_{1}\left(\left\|\mathrm{~B}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \star Y\right\|_{n+m-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The bottom square boils down to equivalences

$$
\|X \star Y\|_{n+m-1} \simeq\left(|\operatorname{inl} \bar{X}|_{\left\|\mathrm{B}^{n} Z \star Y\right\|_{n+m}}\left|\operatorname{inl} \overline{\mathrm{~B}}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}\right|\right),
$$

with a compatibility when $\bar{X}$ is the base point.

## Thom classes

The universal Thom space is the Thom space of the universal oriented $n$-dimensional bundle,

We have a map of spans inducing a commuting cube,

where the front left and the back squares are pushouts. Then the front right is as well, and this gives an equivalent definition of the Thom space.

## Thom class continued

We get an equivalence

$$
\left(\mathrm{Th}_{n} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \prod_{\bar{X}}\left(\Sigma X \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

so we can define the Thom class in the RHS, and since ( $\Sigma X \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}$ ) is a set, it suffices to let the map $\Sigma \mathrm{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}$ be the loop-suspension adjunct of the composite generator:

$$
\mathrm{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow_{*} \Omega \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}
$$

To check that this restricts to the Euler class when restricted along $i: \mathrm{BSG}(n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Th}_{n}$, it's useful to note a further equivalence

$$
\left(\Sigma X \rightarrow_{*} \mathrm{~B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\right) \simeq \sum_{\bar{Y}: \mathrm{B}^{n} \mathbb{Z}}\left(X \rightarrow\left(\overline{\mathrm{~B}}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}=\bar{Y}\right)\right)
$$

where we have the map $\bar{X} \mapsto\left(\mathrm{e}_{n}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{X}), q\right)$, where $q$ is the composite equivalence

$$
X \rightarrow\|X\|_{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{pt}=\mathrm{e}_{n}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\bar{X})\right) .
$$

These definitions agree: To check this, note that this is a proposition, so it suffices to check the base point.

## Tangent sphere bundles of spheres

It's convenient for us to define $S^{n}: \equiv\{ \pm 1\}^{\star n+1}$, where $S^{0} \simeq\{ \pm 1\}$ is an H -space under multiplication, pointed at +1 . This is equivalent to the suspension definition, since generally $\mathrm{S}^{0} \star Y \simeq \Sigma Y$.

A reflection on a type $A$ is an equivalence $r: A \simeq A$ together with a homotopy
$h: \mathrm{id}_{A} * r=\operatorname{sym}_{A, A}$.
A coherent reflection is one equipped with paths

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(\operatorname{inl} a)={ }_{\operatorname{inl} a=\operatorname{inr} a} \text { glue }(a, a) \\
& h(\operatorname{inr} a)={ }_{\operatorname{inr}(r(a))=\operatorname{inl} a} \text { glue }(a, r(a))^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each $a: A$.
The 0 -sphere $S^{0}$ has a coherent reflection given by swapping the two points.

Fact: $S^{0}$ has a coherent reflection
(multiplication by -1 ). Intuition: the matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad \sim \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

are homotopic via by counter-clockwise rotation.

We want to define the tangent sphere bundles of spheres $\tau^{n+1}: \mathrm{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{BG}(n)$ along with equivalences $\theta^{n+1}(x): \mathrm{S}^{0} \star \tau^{n+1}(x) \simeq \mathrm{S}^{n}$. To also handle projective spaces, and with a hope to giving attaching maps for the cell structure of Grassmannians, we generalize.

## Tangent bundles of joins of torsors

Fix a type $A$. An $A$-torsor is a type $E$ with a map $t: E \rightarrow(A \simeq E)$.
By functoriality of join, this induces scalar multiplication maps $\cdot: A \rightarrow E^{\star n} \rightarrow E^{\star n}$.

If $A$ has a reflection $r$, and $E$ is an $A$-torsor, then for all $n: \mathbb{N}$ and $x: E^{\star n}$, we have a type $\tau^{n}(x)$ (merely equivalent to $E^{\star n-1}$ ) and an equivalence $\theta^{n}(x): A \star \tau^{n}(x) \simeq E^{\star n}$.

For $n=0$, there's nothing to do. For $x: E \star E^{\star n}$, we induct on $x$, defining:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{n+1}(\operatorname{inl}(e)) & : \equiv E^{\star n} \\
\tau^{n+1}(\operatorname{inr}(x)) & : \equiv E \star \tau^{n}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On glue $(e, x)$, we take the composition

$$
E^{\star n} \xlongequal{\theta^{n}(x)^{-1}} A \star \tau^{n}(x) \xlongequal{r^{-1} \star \mathrm{id}} A \star \tau^{n}(x) \xlongequal{t(e) \star \mathrm{id}} E \star \tau^{n}(x) .
$$

For the point constructors, we define:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta^{n+1}(\operatorname{inl}(e)): \equiv A \star E^{\star n} \xrightarrow{t(e) \star \text { id }} E^{\star(n+1)} \\
& \theta^{n+1}(\operatorname{inr}(x)): \equiv A \star\left(E \star \tau^{n}(x)\right) \xrightarrow{\text { twist }} E \star\left(A \star \tau^{n}(x)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \not \theta^{n}(x)} E^{\star(n+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The glue case amounts to (use twist):

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A \star\left(A \star \tau^{n}(x)\right) \xrightarrow{\text { id } \star(t(e) \star \mathrm{id})} & A \star\left(E \star \tau^{n}(x)\right) \\
\downarrow \text { twist } \\
\mathrm{id} \star(r \star i \mathrm{id}) \downarrow & E \star\left(A \star \tau^{n}(x)\right) \\
A \star\left(A \star \tau^{n}(x)\right) \xrightarrow{t(e) \star \mathrm{id}} & \downarrow \operatorname{id} \star \theta^{n}(x) \\
\mathrm{id} \star \theta^{n}(x) \downarrow & E \star E^{\star n} .
\end{array}
$$

## Hairy ball theorem

If the reflection is coherent, then we have paths

$$
\theta^{n}(e)(\operatorname{inl} a)=a \cdot e \quad \text { in } E^{\star n} .
$$

We get the Hairy ball theorem: If $n$ is even, then the tangent bundle of $\mathrm{S}^{n}$ has no section.
Proof. Assume $s: \prod_{x} \tau^{n+1}(x)$. Note that $\mathrm{S}^{0}$ has a neutral element +1 . For any $x$ we have a path
$x=1 \cdot x=\theta^{n}(x)($ inl 1$)=\theta^{n}(x)(\mathrm{inl}-1)=-1 \cdot x$.
Since $n$ is even $-1 \cdot$ equals $r \star$ id, so the identity is homotopic to a map of degree -1 .

We're also working on a proof via Euler classes:
Let $n>0$ and let $E: B \rightarrow \mathrm{BSG}(n)$ be an oriented sphere bundle on a type $B$. If $E$ merely has a section, then $\mathrm{e}_{n}^{\mathbb{Z}}(E)=0$.

Let $R: \mathrm{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be a family of ( $n-1$ )-connected types for $n \geq 0$. Then $R$ merely has a section. In particular, for $k \geq n$, any $k$-sphere bundle on a $n$-sphere merely has a section.

## Thanks!

