A Foundation for Synthetic Algebraic Geometry

Felix Cherubini, Thierry Coquand, Matthias Hutzler

Acknowledgements and Subprojects

The approach to synthetic algebraic geometry is based on work by **Ingo Blechschmidt**, **Anders Kock** and **David Jaz Myers**.

Acknowledgements and Subprojects

The approach to synthetic algebraic geometry is based on work by **Ingo Blechschmidt**, **Anders Kock** and **David Jaz Myers**.

The approach to cohomology we use was proposed by **Michael Shulman** in 2013 and was later worked out by **Floris van Doorn**. It is known to many people in the field.

Acknowledgements and Subprojects

The approach to synthetic algebraic geometry is based on work by **Ingo Blechschmidt**, **Anders Kock** and **David Jaz Myers**.

The approach to cohomology we use was proposed by **Michael Shulman** in 2013 and was later worked out by **Floris van Doorn**. It is known to many people in the field.

Present work is joint with Ingo Blechschmidt, Hugo Moeneclaey, Josselin Poiret, and David Wärn.

Foundations Proper Schemes Differential Geometry Čech Cohomology Formalization (Felix, Matthias, Thierry)
(David, Felix, Matthias, Thierry)
(David, Felix, Hugo, Matthias)
(David, Felix, Ingo)
(Felix, Josselin, Matthias)

https://github.com/felixwellen/synthetic-zariski/



* Schemes = quasi-compact, quasi-separated schemes of finite type

Axiom: We have a local, commutative ring R.

Axiom: We have a local, commutative ring R.

For a finitely presented R-algebra A, define:

 $\operatorname{Spec}(A) :\equiv \operatorname{Hom}_{R\operatorname{\mathsf{-algebra}}}(A,R)$

Axiom: We have a local, commutative ring R.

For a finitely presented R-algebra A, define:

 $\operatorname{Spec}(A) :\equiv \operatorname{Hom}_{R\operatorname{\mathsf{-algebra}}}(A,R)$

Axiom (synthetic quasi-coherence (SQC)): For any finitely presented *R*-algebra *A*, the map

$$a\mapsto (\varphi\mapsto\varphi(a)):A\xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\operatorname{Spec}(A)}$$

is an equivalence.

Axiom: We have a local, commutative ring R.

For a finitely presented R-algebra A, define:

 $\operatorname{Spec}(A) :\equiv \operatorname{Hom}_{R\operatorname{\mathsf{-algebra}}}(A,R)$

Axiom (synthetic quasi-coherence (SQC)): For any finitely presented *R*-algebra *A*, the map

$$a\mapsto (\varphi\mapsto\varphi(a)):A\xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\operatorname{Spec}(A)}$$

is an equivalence.

Example: Spec(R[X]) = R.

Axiom: We have a local, commutative ring R.

For a finitely presented R-algebra A, define:

$$\operatorname{Spec}(A) :\equiv \operatorname{Hom}_{R\operatorname{\mathsf{-algebra}}}(A,R)$$

Axiom (synthetic quasi-coherence (SQC)): For any finitely presented *R*-algebra *A*, the map

$$a\mapsto (\varphi\mapsto\varphi(a)):A\xrightarrow{\sim} R^{\operatorname{Spec}(A)}$$

is an equivalence.

Example: Spec(R[X]) = R. Thus:

$$R[X] \xrightarrow{\sim} R^R$$

$$polynomials = functions \quad !!$$

For f : A define:

 $D(f) :\equiv \{ \, x : \operatorname{Spec}(A) \mid x(f) \text{ is invertible} \, \}$

For f : A define:

 $D(f) :\equiv \{ x : \operatorname{Spec}(A) \mid x(f) \text{ is invertible} \}$

For A = R, we get open propositions:

 $\mathsf{OpenProp} :\equiv \{\,(r_1 \;\mathsf{inv.}) \lor \ldots \lor (r_n \;\mathsf{inv.}) \mid r_i : R\,\}$

For f : A define:

 $D(f) :\equiv \{ x : \operatorname{Spec}(A) \mid x(f) \text{ is invertible} \}$

For A = R, we get open propositions:

 $\mathsf{OpenProp} :\equiv \{\,(r_1 \mathsf{ inv.}) \lor \ldots \lor (r_n \mathsf{ inv.}) \mid r_i : R \,\}$

Lemma: There is an embedding:

 $\{\, D(f_1)\cup\dots\cup D(f_n)\mid f_i:A\,\}\quad\hookrightarrow\quad \mathrm{OpenProp}^{\mathrm{Spec}(A)}$

But is it an equivalence??

For f : A define:

 $D(f) :\equiv \{ x : \operatorname{Spec}(A) \mid x(f) \text{ is invertible} \}$

For A = R, we get open propositions:

 $\mathsf{OpenProp} :\equiv \{ (r_1 \mathsf{ inv.}) \lor \dots \lor (r_n \mathsf{ inv.}) \mid r_i : R \}$

Lemma: There is an embedding:

 $\{\, D(f_1)\cup \cdots \cup D(f_n) \mid f_i:A\,\} \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \operatorname{OpenProp}^{\operatorname{Spec}(A)}$

But is it an equivalence?? Yes, using *Zariski-local choice*!

Axiom (Zariski-local choice):

For every surjective π , there merely exist local sections s_i



with $f_1,\ldots,f_n:A$ coprime.

Some more results

- ▶ $OpenProp^{Spec(A)} \cong {f.g. radical ideals of A}$
- OpenProp is closed under Σ -types.
- All functions $\operatorname{Spec} A \to \mathbb{N}$ are bounded.
- The type of schemes is closed under Σ -types.

Some more results

• OpenProp^{Spec(A)}
$$\cong$$
 {f.g. radical ideals of A}

- OpenProp is closed under Σ -types.
- All functions $\operatorname{Spec} A \to \mathbb{N}$ are bounded.
- The type of schemes is closed under Σ-types.

For $A: X \to Ab$, define *cohomology* as:

$$H^n(X,A):\equiv \Bigl\|\prod_{x:X}K(A_x,n)\Bigr\|_{\rm set}$$

Hⁿ coincides with Čech-Cohomology (for *separated* schemes).
A scheme X is affine if and only if

 $H^n(X,M)=0$

for all $M: X \to R\text{-}Mod_{wqc}$ and n > 0.

Thank you!

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

The n-th cohomology group of $\mathcal F$ is

$$H^n(X,\mathcal{F}):=\left\|\prod_{x:X}K(\mathcal{F}_x,n)\right\|_0$$

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

The n-th cohomology group of $\mathcal F$ is

$$H^n(X,\mathcal{F}):\equiv \left\|\prod_{x:X}K(\mathcal{F}_x,n)\right\|_0$$

Properties:

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

The n-th cohomology group of $\mathcal F$ is

$$H^n(X,\mathcal{F}):=\left\|\prod_{x:X}K(\mathcal{F}_x,n)\right\|_0$$

Properties:

The $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ are all abelian groups.

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

The n-th cohomology group of $\mathcal F$ is

$$H^n(X,\mathcal{F}):=\left\|\prod_{x:X}K(\mathcal{F}_x,n)\right\|_0$$

Properties:

The $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ are all abelian groups. Functoriality, covariant in \mathcal{F} , contravariant in X.

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

The n-th cohomology group of $\mathcal F$ is

$$H^n(X,\mathcal{F}):=\left\|\prod_{x:X}K(\mathcal{F}_x,n)\right\|_0$$

Properties:

The $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ are all abelian groups. Functoriality, covariant in \mathcal{F} , contravariant in X. Some long exact sequence for coefficients.

Let X be a type and $\mathcal{F}:X\to \operatorname{Ab}$ a dependent abelian group on X.

The n-th cohomology group of $\mathcal F$ is

$$H^n(X,\mathcal{F}):=\left\|\prod_{x:X}K(\mathcal{F}_x,n)\right\|_0$$

Properties:

The $H^n(X,\mathcal{F})$ are all abelian groups. Functoriality, covariant in \mathcal{F} , contravariant in X. Some long exact sequence for coefficients. We have a Mayer-Vietoris-Lemma and more generally correspondence with Čech-Cohomology, for nice enough spaces.

Let
$$X={\rm Spec}(A)$$
 and $M:X\to R\text{-Mod}$ such that
$$((x:D(f))\to M_x)=((x:X)\to M)_f\text{, then}$$

$$H^1(X,M)=0$$

Let
$$X={\rm Spec}(A)$$
 and $M:X\to R\text{-Mod}$ such that
$$((x:D(f))\to M_x)=((x:X)\to M)_f\text{, then}$$

$$H^1(X,M)=0$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Proof: Let } \|T\|: H^1(X,M) \equiv \|(x:X) \rightarrow K(M_x,1)\|_0 \text{ and from that } (x:X) \rightarrow \|T_x = M_x\|. \end{array}$

Let
$$X={\rm Spec}(A)$$
 and $M:X\to R\text{-Mod}$ such that
$$((x:D(f))\to M_x)=((x:X)\to M)_f\text{, then}$$

$$H^1(X,M)=0$$

Proof: Let $|T|: H^1(X, M) \equiv ||(x:X) \to K(M_x, 1)||_0$ and from that $(x:X) \to ||T_x = M_x||$. Our third axiom, **Zariski-local choice**, merely gives us coprime $f_1, \ldots, f_n: A$, such that for each i we have

$$s_i:(x:D(f_i))\to T_x=M_x.$$

Let
$$X={\rm Spec}(A)$$
 and $M:X\to R\operatorname{-Mod}$ such that
$$((x:D(f))\to M_x)=((x:X)\to M)_f\text{, then}$$

$$H^1(X,M)=0$$

Proof: Let $|T|: H^1(X, M) \equiv ||(x:X) \to K(M_x, 1)||_0$ and from that $(x:X) \to ||T_x = M_x||$. Our third axiom, **Zariski-local choice**, merely gives us coprime $f_1, \ldots, f_n : A$, such that for each i we have

$$s_i:(x:D(f_i))\to T_x=M_x.$$

So for $t_{ij}(x):\equiv s_j(x)^{-1}\cdot s_i(x)$ we have $t_{ij}+t_{jk}=t_{ik}.$

Let
$$X={\rm Spec}(A)$$
 and $M:X\to R\text{-Mod}$ such that
$$((x:D(f))\to M_x)=((x:X)\to M)_f\text{, then}$$

$$H^1(X,M)=0$$

Proof: Let $|T|: H^1(X, M) \equiv ||(x:X) \to K(M_x, 1)||_0$ and from that $(x:X) \to ||T_x = M_x||$. Our third axiom, **Zariski-local choice**, merely gives us coprime $f_1, \ldots, f_n: A$, such that for each i we have

$$s_i:(x:D(f_i))\to T_x=M_x.$$

So for $t_{ij}(x) :\equiv s_j(x)^{-1} \cdot s_i(x)$ we have $t_{ij} + t_{jk} = t_{ik}$. By algebra, we get $u_i : (x : D(f_i)) \to M_x$ with $t_{ij} = u_i - u_j$. Then the $\tilde{s}_i :\equiv s_i - u_i$ glues to a global trivialization.