Homotopy Type-Theoretic Interpretations of CZF

Cesare Gallozzi

School of Mathematics University of Leeds

HoTT/UF Workshop

Oxford, September 9th, 2017

Outline

1. Type-theoretic interpretations of CZF

2. New interpretations $[\![\cdot]\!]_{\infty,2}$ and $[\![\cdot]\!]_{1,2}$

3. Proof-theoretic characterisation of the formulas valid in $[\![\cdot]\!]_{\infty,2}$

Part I:

Type-theoretic interpretations of CZF

Constructive Set Theory (CZF)

The underlying logic is intuitionistic rather than classical.

Axioms:

- Extensionality
- Pairing
- Union
- Emptyset
- Infinity
- \blacktriangleright \in -Induction
- Bounded Separation

 $\forall a \exists x \forall y (y \in x \leftrightarrow y \in a \land \phi(y)) \text{ for } \phi \text{ bounded}$

Strong Collection

 $\forall x \in a \, \exists y \phi(x, y) \to \exists b (\forall x \in a) (\exists y \in b) \phi(x, y) \land (\forall y \in b) (\exists x \in a) \phi(x, y)$

Subset Collection

Aczel's Interpretation

1. Interpretation of sets: $\mathcal{V} := (WA : \mathcal{U})A$

The introduction rule is:

$$\frac{A:\mathcal{U} \quad f:A \to \mathcal{V}}{sup(A,f):\mathcal{V}}$$

2. Interpretation of **equality**. Given $A, B : \mathcal{U}$ and $f : A \to \mathcal{V}$ and $g : B \to \mathcal{V}$ we define $[[sup(A, f) \doteq sup(B, g)]]$ as:

$$(\Pi x : A)(\Sigma y : B)\llbracket f(x) \doteq g(y) \rrbracket \times (\Pi y : B)(\Sigma x : A)\llbracket f(x) \doteq g(y) \rrbracket$$

Aczel's Interpretation

3. The interpretation of the other **formulas** follows the propositions-as-types correspondence:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \phi \land \psi \rrbracket &:= \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \times \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \phi \to \psi \rrbracket &:= \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \to \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \phi \lor \psi \rrbracket &:= \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \to \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \phi \lor \psi \rrbracket &:= \llbracket \phi \rrbracket + \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \\ \llbracket (\forall x \in sup(A, f)) \phi(x) \rrbracket &:= (\Pi x : A) \llbracket \phi(f(x)) \rrbracket \\ \llbracket (\exists x \in sup(A, f)) \phi(x) \rrbracket &:= (\Sigma x : A) \llbracket \phi(f(x)) \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \forall x \phi(x) \rrbracket &:= (\Pi \alpha : \mathcal{V}) \llbracket \phi(\alpha) \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket &:= (\Sigma \alpha : \mathcal{V}) \llbracket \phi(\alpha) \rrbracket \\ \llbracket a \in \beta \rrbracket &:= \llbracket (\exists y \in \beta) (y \doteq \alpha) \rrbracket \end{split}$$

HoTT book interpretation, 2013.

- the type V is a higher inductive type, Id_V interprets equality and is defined as a bisimulation relation
- $\blacktriangleright~\mathcal{V}$ is a hset, however \mathcal{V} itself is constructed with arbitrary small types
- formulas are interpreted as hpropositions

Remark:

Univalence is invoked in the proof of a lemma that gives a description the terms of $\ensuremath{\mathcal{V}}.$

An inspection of the proofs shows that univalence is not needed overall.

Gylterud's PhD thesis, 2016.

- ▶ interpretation of a *multiset* theory in type theory, sets are special multisets
- multisets are interpreted as small types
- formulas are interpreted as hprops
- the identity type interprets equality

Part II: New interpretations New Interpretation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,2}$: sets-as-hsets

 Idea: sets are interpreted as hsets. Define hSet_U := (ΣA : U)ishSet(A). Then:

$$\mathcal{V}_2 := (W \times : hSet_{\mathcal{U}})\pi_1(x)$$

We work in the type theory that uses just the rules for \mathcal{V}_2 , namely: $ML_1^i\mathcal{V}_2 + FE$.

2. Interpretation of **equality**. As in Aczel's interpretation define $[[sup(A, f) \doteq sup(B, g)]]_{\infty,2}$ as:

$$(\Pi x : A)(\Sigma y : B)\llbracket f(x) \doteq g(y) \rrbracket_{\infty,2} \times (\Pi y : B)(\Sigma x : A)\llbracket f(x) \doteq g(y) \rrbracket_{\infty,2}$$

New Interpretation $[\![\cdot]\!]_{\infty,2}$: sets-as-hsets

3. Interpretation of the other formulas, as in Aczel's interpretation:

$$\begin{split} & [\![\phi \land \psi]\!]_{\infty,2} := [\![\phi]\!]_{\infty,2} \times [\![\psi]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![\phi \to \psi]\!]_{\infty,2} := [\![\phi]\!]_{\infty,2} \to [\![\psi]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![\phi \lor \psi]\!]_{\infty,2} := [\![\phi]\!]_{\infty,2} + [\![\psi]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![(\forall x \in sup(A, f))\phi(x)]\!]_{\infty,2} := (\Pi x : A)[\![\phi(f(x))]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![(\exists x \in sup(A, f))\phi(x)]\!]_{\infty,2} := (\Sigma x : A)[\![\phi(f(x))]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![\forall x \phi(x)]\!]_{\infty,2} := (\Pi \alpha : \mathcal{V}_2)[\![\phi(\alpha)]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![\exists x \phi(x)]\!]_{\infty,2} := (\Sigma \alpha : \mathcal{V}_2)[\![\phi(\alpha)]\!]_{\infty,2} \\ & [\![\alpha \in \beta]\!]_{\infty,2} := [\![(\exists y \in \beta)(y \doteq \alpha)]\!]_{\infty,2} \end{split}$$

Theorem All axioms of CZF are valid in the interpretation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,2}$.

New Interpretation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{1,2}$: sets-as-hsets, formulas-as-hprops

- 1. Interpretation of **sets** as hsets: $V_2 := (W \times hSet_U)\pi_1(x)$
- 2. Interpretation of equality, we define $[sup(A, f) \doteq sup(B, g)]_{1,2}$ as:

 $(\Pi x : A) \| (\Sigma y : B) \llbracket f(x) \doteq g(y) \rrbracket_{1,2} \| \times (\Pi y : B) \| (\Sigma x : A) \llbracket f(x) \doteq g(y) \rrbracket_{1,2} \|$

3. Interpretation of the other formulas:

$$\begin{split} & \llbracket \phi \lor \psi \rrbracket_{1,2} := \|\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{1,2} + \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{1,2} \| \\ & \llbracket (\exists x \in sup(A, f)) \phi(x) \rrbracket_{1,2} := \| (\Sigma x : A) \llbracket \phi(f(x)) \rrbracket_{1,2} \| \\ & \llbracket \exists x \phi(x) \rrbracket_{1,2} := \| (\Sigma \alpha : \mathcal{V}_2) \llbracket \phi(\alpha) \rrbracket_{1,2} \| \end{split}$$

Theorem

The following axioms are valid in the interpretation $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{1,2}$: Extensionality, Pairing, Union, Emptyset, Infinity, \in -Induction, Bounded Separation.

Part III: Proof-theoretic characterisation of $[\![\cdot]\!]_{\infty,k}$

Proof-theoretic Aspects of $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,k}$

Definition

A *CC* formula is one in which no unbounded quantifier occurs in the antecedent of an implication.

CC formulas include all formulas used in standard practice.

Definition

- A set is a *base* iff it satisfies the axiom of choice.
- A set is ΠΣ-generated iff it is generated from finite sets and ω using the operations Π the set of dependent functions and Σ the disjoint union.

 $\Pi \Sigma$ -**AC**: every $\Pi \Sigma$ -generated set is a base.

Theorem (Rathjen & Tupailo)

Let ϕ be a CC sentence. Then $CZF + \Pi \Sigma - AC \vdash \phi$ if and only if $ML_1^e \mathcal{V} \vdash t : \llbracket \phi \rrbracket$ for some term t. Proof-theoretic Aspects of $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,k}$

Lemma $\Pi \Sigma$ -AC is valid in $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,k}$.

Theorem

Given a CC sentence ϕ , if $ML_1^i \mathcal{V}_k + FE \vdash s : \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\infty,k}$ for some term s, then $CZF + \Pi \Sigma - AC \vdash \phi$.

Proof.

It follows from the existence of an interpretation of $ML_1^i \mathcal{V}_k + FE$ into $ML_1^e \mathcal{V}$ such that $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,k}$ is mapped to $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$.

Proof-theoretic Aspects of $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\infty,k}$

Corollary

Given a CC sentence ϕ of CZF and two numbers $h,k\in\mathbb{N}\cup\{+\infty\}$ with $2\leq h,k.$ We have:

$$\begin{split} ML_1^i \mathcal{V}_k + FE \vdash t : \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\infty,k} & \Leftrightarrow & ML_1^i \mathcal{V}_h + FE \vdash s : \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\infty,h} \\ \text{for some term } t & \text{for some term } s \end{split}$$

We have different interpretations of CZF in type theory. They interpret sets, formulas and equality in different ways.

However, they share a core structure.

Idea

Provide an analysis of these type-theoretic interpretations using a logic enriched type theory.

References

- P. Aczel, The Type Theoretic Interpretation of Constructive Set Theory, in Logic Colloquium '77, North Holland, 1978.
- N. Gambino, P. Aczel, The Generalised Type-Theoretic Interpretation of Constructive Set Theory, in Journal of Symbolic Logic, 2006.
- ▶ H. R. Gylterud, *From Multisets to Sets in Homotopy Type Theory*, preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05468, 2016.
- M. Rathjen, S. Tupailo, Characterizing the Interpretation of Set Theory in Martin-Löf Type Theory. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 141, no. 3, 2006.
- The Univalent Foundations Program, Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics. http://homotopytypetheory.org/book, Institute for Advanced Study, 2013.